

**Minutes of the Joint Meeting of the Yankton Community  
Library Building Task Force/Library Board of Trustees**

June 23, 2010, 5:30 p.m.

Library meeting room

Chair David Koerner called the meeting to order at 5:34 p.m. Present were Task Force members Dick Anderson, Jane Bobzin, Kim Conlin, Jim Cope, Galen Goeden, and David Leonard; Library Board members Dores Allan, Jane Bobzin, Allan Sinclair, and Judy VanDerhule. Also present were Architect Jim Schramm, Planning and Development District III's Eric Ambrosion, and Library Director Kathy Jacobs. Absent with regrets from the Task Force Kathryn Reimler. Absent with regrets from the Library Board were Terre Berkland, Alicia Cornemann and Catherine McGovern.

**Approval of Minutes:**

Motion by Anderson and second by Bobzin to accept the April meeting minutes. Unanimous approval.

**Director's Report:**

Jacobs called for questions. Cope commented on nonresident cards and the thought that inactive cardholders are kept in the system too long. Jacobs explained the practice that this library and most libraries follow in regard to inactive cards.

**New Business:**

**Online survey results:**

Ambrosion presented the results of the online survey in the form of a PowerPoint presentation. The following were the comments from the members:

**Who Responded:**

Is 71 a good response for numbers taking survey? No, it would take 300 to complete survey for this to make a difference. 95% confidence rate; this is a sample

**Usage Characteristics:**

**Thoughts on the Current Library:**

It would seem that more would respond that more computers are needed.

What do people consider to be the center of the community's cultural life?

It seems we have enough materials even though people don't think so. ILL/multiple copies/different formats. People want instantaneous results and don't want to wait for ILL's and holds.

**Thoughts on a New Library:**

Do you believe that a new building as close to this location as possible is part of what the no's are saying? Yes, that seems to be the conclusion to be drawn.

**Discussion:**

This was more responses than some members expected.

What was the percentage of attendance at focus groups? Attendance was poor. Jacobs will figure percentages for July meeting. Focus groups gave us “bang for our buck” in terms of discussion.

Community survey shows users very happy with library. Jacobs pointed out that the library had less respondents than other department. For example, everyone uses the City water and has an opinion, but many less use the library so they did not respond to the library questions.

What is next step? Direction is to gather information and do public awareness piece.

Should we get more survey responses from patrons and non users? Have laptop ready at library? How will we reach non users?

Some people come daily to read newspapers.

People are impatient about where it would be located when talking about a new library.

How important is this location?

Case for support: number of respondents needs to be large enough to make this more valuable information.

With the world we have today, what do we need a library for? This info is powerful!

Community survey: random sample through utility bills

Would a “nest egg” help people be more receptive?

How to get more information will be a future discussion.

**Next meeting:** Wednesday, July 28, 2010, 5:30 p.m. This will also be a joint meeting with results from the focus groups as the discussion.

**Adjourn:** Motion to adjourn at 6:33 p.m. by Conlin; second by Leonard.

Respectfully submitted  
Kathy Jacobs, Library Director